

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & LIFE SCIENCES (Int. J. of Pharm. Life Sci.)

## Efficacy of *Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica* and C*innamomum verum* against *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*

George Shilingi<sup>1\*,</sup> Joy Nachilima<sup>1</sup>, Linda Matere<sup>1</sup>, and Daniel Kwalimwa<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Eastern Africa Baraton, Department of Biological Sciences P.O. Box 2500, Eldoret, 30100, Kenya.

### Abstract

The study was aimed to examine the antimicrobial potential crude extracts of *Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica* and *Cinnamomum verum* against the selected pathogens *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. The bacteria were identified and confirmed by conventional microbiology procedure. Antimicrobial study was carried out by diffusion method against the pathogens by using the crude extracts of *Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica* and *Cinnamomum verum*. The antibacterial activity has been observed crude extracts of *Aloe secundiflora, Cinamomum verum* and *Azadirachta indica*against *E. coli and S. typhi* with varied activity. The maximum inhibition zones of *A. secundiflora* were 30.00mm for *E. coli* and 30.00mm for *S. typhi*, that of *A. indica* 24.00mm for *E. coli* and 22.00mm for *S. typhi*, and that of *C. verum* 30mm for *E. coli* and 16.00mm for *S. typhi* were observed. It is hoped that this study would lead to the establishment of some herbs that could be used to formulate new and alternative potent antimicrobial drugs of natural origin.

Key-Words: Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica, Cinnamomum verum.Salmonella typhi, Escherichia coli, zone of inhibition.

## Introduction

### **Background Study**

Traditional medicine has been in practice for many centuries by a substantial proportion of the population. It is recognized that in some developing countries, plants are the main medicinal source to treat various infectious diseases. Plant extracts represent a continuous effort to find new compound against pathogens. Approximately 20% of the plants are found in the world have been submitted to pharmacological or biological test, and a substantial number of new antibiotics introduced on the market are obtained from natural or semi synthetic resources (Mothana and Linclequist, 2005).Whereas the use of several chemicals in food and several antibiotic medicines has made some bacteria to develop resistance in their population.

\* Corresponding Author E.mail: +254 714 640 170 or +254 775 627 170 gshilingi87@gmail.com Spices have got excellent antibacterial properties, in many countries people use these along with boiled food preparations which actually reduce its antibacterial properties (Atefl, D.A. and Erdo Urul, O.T, 2003). Spices such as garlic, ginger, clove and *Cinamonnum verum* has been used traditionally for both culinary and medicinal purposes (Pankaj Sah et al, 2012).

Aloe secundiflora, is a succulent from the Aloe family (400 different species) with its origin in African continent. Its thick leaves contain the water supply for the plant to survive long periods of drought (Foster, 1999). The leaves have a high capacity of retaining water also in very warm dry climates and therefore this plant can survive very harsh circumstances where most other vegetation disappears.

When a leaf is cut, an orange-yellow sap drips from the open end, this is called the gel.

*Aloe secundiflora* gel consists of 99.3% water. The remaining 0.7% is made up of solids with glucose and mannose constituting for a large part. These sugars together with the enzymes and amino acids in the gel give the special properties as a skin care product (Kamble Kaveri M, et al, 2013).

Azadirachta indica (Azadirachta indica) tree is a fast growing evergreen popular tree found commonly in



India, Africa and America. It is perhaps the most useful traditional medicinal plant available all year round. The herb is known to exert anti-cancer, antioxidant, wound-healing, and anti-microbial properties are also known to be one of these plants from which almost every part is used (Herbcyclopedia, 2014).

*Cinamomnum verum* is the bark of the evergreen tropical *Cinamomnum verum*. It may be in the form of quill or ground powder. It is known for its anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, antimicrobial, anti-diabetic and anti-tumor properties. It not only adds aroma and taste to food but also has profound health benefits. It is of various types including the Chinese (cassia) *Cinamomnum verum*, Ceylon *Cinamomnum verum* and the verum species (Seyed Fazel Nabavi, et al 2015).

*Escherichia coli*, abbreviated as *E. coli* are bacteria found in the environment, foods, and intestines of people and animals. *E. coli* are a large and diverse group of bacteria. Although most strains of *E. coli* are harmless, others can make you sick

Some kinds of *E. coli* can cause diarrhea, while others cause urinary tract infections, respiratory illness and pneumonia, and other illnesses.

The types of *E. coli* that can cause diarrhea can be transmitted through contaminated water or food, or through contact with animals or persons. E. coli consists of a diverse group of bacteria. Pathogenic E. coli strains are categorized into pathotypes. Six pathotypes are associated with diarrhea and collectively are referred to as diarrheagenic E. coli. The first type is Shiga toxin-producing E. coliwhich may also be referred to asVerocytotoxin-producing E. coli or enterohemorrhagic E. coli. This pathotype is the commonly associated with foodborne most outbreaks. The others are Enterotoxigenic E. coli, Enteropathogenic E. coli, Enteroaggregative E. coli, Enteroinvasive E. coli and Diffusely adherent E. coli(CDC, 2015).

*Salmonella typhi* is a Gram-negative bacterium which grows in the intestines and blood (Wain, J et al, 2015). It causes an infection called typhoid. Typhoid fever is a disease that remains an important public health problem in developing countries. In 2000, it was estimated that over 2.16 million episodes of typhoid occurred worldwide (WHO, 2008).

### Statement of the Problem

Bacterial infections from *E. coli* and *S. typhi* causes vast of diseases which may hamper the normal functioning of the human body. There have been contradicting reports that herbs which have been used for quite a long time in treating these diseases have not been effective; there is lack of existing information on

which herbs are the most effective and at what concentration are they effective.

The objectives were to compare the antimicrobial activities of *Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica,* and *Cinamommum verum* against *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*, and to ascertain the best concentration for which each herb can act more effectively on the test organisms.

### **Material and Methods**

### **Collection of Plant Material**

Abeaker was used to collect *Aloe secundiflora*leaves from the nature conservancy, and plastic bags used to collect *Azadirachta indica* plant leavesand *Cinamommum verum* from Kisumu. Confirmation of the plant materials were done at University of Eastern Africa, Baraton Department of Biological Sciences.

### **Extraction of Plant Material**

Aloe secundiflora leaves were washed with distilled water and the gel extracted by crushing the leaves using a sterilized mortar and pestle and then put in asterile beaker (Kaveri et al, 2013).Volume to volume concentration of 100%, 80% and 60% in normal saline were made. *Cinamommum verum* bark and *Azadirachta indica* leaves were washed with distilled water and air dried. *Cinamommum verum* was grinded using a blender and the powders transferred to a clean sterile beaker while *Azadirachta indica* was crushed using a sterile mortar and pestle then sieved into a clean sterile beaker.For each herb, three concentrations of 50mg/ml, 100mg/ml and 150mg/ml were made and left for 96hours.

Key for *Cinamommum verum* and *Azadirachta indica* 

2.5 g dissolved in 50 ml normal saline to obtain 50mg/ml

5g dissolved in 50ml normal saline to obtain 100mg/ml 7.5g dissolved in 50ml normal saline to obtain 150mg/ml

These were then filtered using sterile filter papers to give the crude *Cinamommum verum* and *Azadirachta indica*.

#### Isolation of Test organisms Escherichia coli

Samples were collected using sterile swabs from toilet seats and immersed on nutrient broth for 6 hours.Using sterile cotton swabs, the cultures were aseptically swabbed on the surface of sterile nutrient agar plates.The growth was transferred using a sterile inoculating needle and streaked for isolation onto MacConkey agar plate and incubated in at 37°C for 24 hours. On MacConkey the *E.coli* appeared pink in color (Alonso, J.L.et al, 1999).



### Salmonella typhi

Fecal samples were collected from Baraton Clinic. The samples were diluted in distilled water then inoculated on XLD media. The black colonies on XLD were isolated and further tested with IMVIC sensitivity test and gram staining.

## Antibacterial activity of Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica and Cinnamomum verum

Sterile Nutrient agar plates were prepared. Durham's were sterilized using ethanol and a flame, cooled and used to make wells on the media. The bacterial test organisms- *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi* were spread over the agar plates respectively using separate sterile swabs.Clean pipettes were sterilized with ethanol and rinsed in distilled water, then used to put the different concentrations in the wells with each well clearly labeled for its respective concentration.

The plates were left on the sterile surface for 5 minutes, and then incubated at 37°C. After 24 hours, the diameter of the minimum zone of inhibition was measured in mm and results recorded. For each test, three trials were performed for better analysis of the results.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The data obtained by measuring the zones of inhibition was subjected to ONE WAY ANOVA test to determine whether there was any significant difference between the herbs and their different concentrations.

### **Results and Discussion**

The objectives were to compare the antimicrobial activities of *Aloe secundiflora*, *Azadirachta indica*, and *Cinamomnum verum* against *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. The successive *Aloe secundiflora*leaf extracts using normal saline solution at a concentration of100 %, 80 % and 60 % showed significance difference amongst all concentrations that is between 60% and 80%, 80% and 100% and 60% and 100% for both *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. Therefore the activity increases with concentrations (Table 1 and 2).

| Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of Aloe |  |
|---|--|
| secundifloraon E. coli                  |  |

| secunaifioraon E. coli |                  |           |            |            |             |            |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|--|
| Multiple Comparisons   |                  |           |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| Dependent Va           | riable: ZI       |           |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| Games-Howe             | 211              |           |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| (I)                    | (J)              | Mean      |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| CONCENTR               | CONCENTR         | Differ    | Std.       | Si         | 95%         |            |  |  |
| ATION OF               | ATION OF         | ence      | Error      | g.         | Conf        | idenc      |  |  |
| AV                     | AV               | (I-I)     |            | C          | e Inte      | erval      |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | Low         |            |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | er          | Unne       |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | Boun        | r          |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | d           | Boun       |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            |             | d          |  |  |
|                        |                  | 26.000    | 3.05       | .0         | 8.00        | 43.9       |  |  |
|                        | 80%              | 00*       | 505        | 25         | 34          | 966        |  |  |
| 100%                   | 0070             | 26 000    | 3 05       | 0          | 8.00        | 43.9       |  |  |
|                        | 60%              | 00*       | 505        | 25         | 34          | 966        |  |  |
|                        | 0070             | 50        | 505        | 25         | 5-          | -          |  |  |
|                        |                  | 26.000    | 3.05       | .0         | 13.9        | 8 00       |  |  |
| 80%                    | 100%             | 20.000    | 505        | 25         | 966         | 0.00<br>34 |  |  |
| 0070                   |                  | 00        | 000        |            | 000         | 000        |  |  |
|                        | 60%              | .00000    | .000       | -          | 0000        | 0000       |  |  |
|                        | 00%              |           | 00         |            | 0           | 0          |  |  |
|                        |                  |           | 3.05       | 0.         | 12.0        | -          |  |  |
| 60%                    | 100%             | 20.000    | 505        | 25         | 43.9        | 0.00<br>24 |  |  |
|                        |                  | 00        | 000        |            | 000         | 000        |  |  |
|                        | 80%              | .00000    | .000       | -          | .000        | 0000       |  |  |
| * The mean d           | ifference is sig | nificon   | $t_{ot}$   |            | $0.051_{0}$ | V<br>Vol   |  |  |
| *. The mean of         |                  | gnincan   | i ai ii    | le U       | 0.05 le     | vei.       |  |  |
| Multiple Com           |                  | • • • • • | P A 7.     |            |             |            |  |  |
| Table 2: Ant           | imicrobial act   | livity of | Alle       | e se       | cunai       | jiora      |  |  |
| During 1 and Ma        | 011 5. 4         | іурпі     |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| Dependent va           | iriable: ZI      |           |            |            |             |            |  |  |
| Games-Howe             |                  | h.c.      | -          |            | 050/        |            |  |  |
| (1)                    | (J)              | Mean      | G . 1      | <u>а</u> . | 95%<br>C    | 1          |  |  |
| CONCENTR               | CONCENTR         | Differe   | Std.       | S1         | Confi       | denc       |  |  |
| ATION OF               | ATION OF         | nce (I-   | Erro       | g.         | e Inte      | rval       |  |  |
| AV                     | AV               | 1)        | r          |            | Low         | Uppe       |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | er          | r          |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | Boun        | Boun       |  |  |
|                        |                  |           |            |            | d           | d          |  |  |
| 100%                   |                  | 29.333    | .666       | .0         | 25.4        | 33.26      |  |  |
|                        | 80%              | 33*       | 67         | 01         | 062         | 05         |  |  |
| 10070                  |                  | 29.333    | .666       | .0         | 25.4        | 33.26      |  |  |
|                        | 60%              | 33*       | 67         | 01         | 062         | 05         |  |  |
|                        |                  | -         | 666        | 0          | -           | F          |  |  |
|                        | 100%             | 29.333    | .000<br>67 | .0<br>01   | 33.2        | 25.40      |  |  |
| 80%                    | 10070            | 33*       | 57         | 01         | 605         | 62         |  |  |
|                        |                  | 00000     | .000       |            | .000        | 0000       |  |  |
| 1                      | 600/             | .00000    | 00         | •          | 0           | .0000      |  |  |



| 60%  | 100% | -<br>29.333<br>33* | .666<br>67 | .0<br>01 | -<br>33.2<br>605 | -<br>25.40<br>62 |
|--|------|--------------------|------------|----------|------------------|------------------|
|  | 80%  | .00000             | .000<br>00 | •        | .000<br>0        | .0000            |
| *. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. |      |                    |            |          |                  |                  |

The successive *Azadirachta indica* leaf extracts using normal saline solution at a concentration of 2.5 mg/ml, 5 mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml. There was no significant difference between concentrations 2.5 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml or 5 mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml for both *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. However, there was significant difference between 2.5mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml therefore 7.5 mg/ml is the best concentration that can be used on these test organisms (Table 3 and 4).

# Table 3: Antimicrobial activity of Azadirachta indicaon E. coli

| Multiple Comparisons   |  |  |                                       |                            |                      |  |                                       |  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Dependent Variable: ZI |  |  |                                       |                            |                      |  |                                       |  |  |
|                        | (I) Various<br>concentrati<br>on of<br>AZADIRAC<br>HTA<br>INDICA | (J)<br>Various<br>concentrati<br>on of<br><i>AZADIRAC</i><br><i>HTA</i><br><i>INDICA</i> | Mean<br>Differe<br>nce (I-<br>J)      | Std.<br>Error              | Si<br>g.             | 95%<br>Conf<br>ce<br>Inter<br>Low<br>er<br>Bou<br>nd | iden<br>Val<br>Upp<br>er<br>Bou<br>nd |  |  |
|                        | 2.5  | 5<br>7.5   | -<br>2.0000<br>0<br>-<br>5.3333<br>3* | 1.440<br>16<br>1.440<br>16 | .21<br>4<br>.01<br>0 | -<br>5.52<br>40<br>-<br>8.85<br>73                   | 1.52<br>40<br>-<br>1.80<br>94         |  |  |
| LS<br>D                |  | 2.5  | 2.0000<br>0                           | 1.440<br>16                | .21<br>4             | -<br>1.52<br>40                                      | 5.52<br>40                            |  |  |
|                        | 5  | 7.5  | -<br>3.3333<br>3                      | 1.440<br>16                | .06<br>0             | -<br>6.85<br>73                                      | .190<br>6                             |  |  |
|                        |  | 2.5  | 5.3333<br>3*                          | 1.440<br>16                | .01<br>0             | 1.80<br>94   | 8.85<br>73                            |  |  |
|                        | 7.5  | 5  | 3.3333<br>3                           | 1.440<br>16                | .06<br>0             | -<br>.190<br>6                                       | 6.85<br>73                            |  |  |
| *. T                   | The mean dif   | ference is si  | gnifican                              | t at the                   | e 0.                 | 05 lev   | vel.                                  |  |  |

 Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of Azadirachta indica on S. typhi

### Shilingi et al., 7(7): July, 2016:5107-5115] ISSN: 0976-7126

| Mu                     | Multiple Comparisons |             |         |       |    |        |       |  |  |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|-------|----|--------|-------|--|--|
| Dependent Variable: ZI |                      |             |         |       |    |        |       |  |  |
|                        | (I) Various          | (J)         |         |       |    | 95     | %     |  |  |
|                        | concentrati          | Various     | Mean    | Std.  | Si | Confi  | dence |  |  |
|                        | on of                | concentrati | Differe | Error | g. | Interv | al    |  |  |
|                        | AZADIRA              | on of       | nce (I- |       |    |        | Uppe  |  |  |
|                        | CHTA                 | AZADIRA     | J)      |       |    | Lowe   | r     |  |  |
|                        | INDICA               | CHTA        |         |       |    | r      | Boun  |  |  |
|                        |                      | INDICA      |         |       |    | Boun   | d     |  |  |
|                        |                      |             |         |       |    | d      |       |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | -       | 2 309 | 1  | -      | 1 650 |  |  |
|                        |                      | 5           | 4.0000  | 40    | 34 | 9.650  | 9     |  |  |
|                        | 2.5                  | -           | 0       |       |    | 9      |       |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | -       | 2.309 | .0 | -      | _     |  |  |
|                        |                      | 7.5         | 6.0000  | 40    | 41 | 11.65  | .3491 |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | 0.      | -     |    | 09     |       |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | 4.0000  | 2.309 | .1 | -      | 9.650 |  |  |
| LS                     |                      | 2.5         | 0       | 40    | 34 | 1.650  | 9     |  |  |
| D                      | _                    |             | -       | -     |    | 9      |       |  |  |
|                        | 5                    |             | -       | 2.309 | .4 | -      | 3.650 |  |  |
|                        |                      | 7.5         | 2.0000  | 40    | 20 | 7.650  | 9     |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | 0       |       |    | 9      | -     |  |  |
|                        |                      |             | 6.0000  | 2.309 | .0 | .3491  | 11.65 |  |  |
|                        |                      | 2.5         | 0'      | 40    | 41 |        | 09    |  |  |
|                        | 7.5                  |             | 2.0000  | 2.309 | .4 | -      | 7.650 |  |  |
|                        |                      | 5           | 0       | 40    | 20 | 3.650  | 9     |  |  |
|                        |                      |             |         |       |    | 9      |       |  |  |

\*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. The successive *Cinnamomum verum* leaf extracts using normal saline solution at a concentration of 2.5 mg/ml, 5 mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml. There was no significant difference between concentrations 2.5 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml or 5 mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml for both *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. However, there was significant difference between 2.5 mg/ml and 7.5 mg/ml therefore 7.5 mg/ml is the best concentration that can be used on these test organisms (Table 5 and 6).

 

 Table 5: Statistical Analysis of Cinnamomum verum on E.coli

| Mu | Multiple Comparisons |          |      |            |          |       |    |        |      |
|----|----------------------|----------|------|------------|----------|-------|----|--------|------|
| De | pend                 | lent Vai | iabl | e: ZI      |          |       |    |        |      |
|    | (I)                  | Variou   | s(J) | Variou     | s        |       |    | 95     | %    |
|    | con                  | centrati | con  | centrati   | Mean     | Std.  | Si | Confi  | denc |
|    | on                   | 0        | fon  | 0          | fDiffere | Error | g. | e Inte | rval |
|    | CIN                  | IAMOM    | CIN  | VAMOM      | nce (I-  |       |    |        | Uppe |
|    | МU                   | M        | ML   | V <b>M</b> | J)       |       |    | Low    | r    |
|    | VEI                  | RUM      | VE   | RUM        |          |       |    | er     | Boun |
|    |                      |          |      |            |          |       |    | Boun   | d    |
|    |                      |          |      |            |          |       |    | d      |      |

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS



|         |     | 5   | -<br>10.666<br>67*        | 1.08<br>866 | .0<br>00 | -<br>13.3<br>305 | -<br>8.00<br>28  |
|---------|-----|-----|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------|------------------|
| LS<br>D | 2.5 | 7.5 | -<br>16.666<br>67*        | 1.08<br>866 | .0<br>00 | -<br>19.3<br>305 | -<br>14.0<br>028 |
|         | 5   | 2.5 | 10.666<br>67 <sup>*</sup> | 1.08<br>866 | .0<br>00 | 8.00<br>28       | 13.3<br>305      |

| Shilingi et al. | , 7(7): | July, | 2016:5107-5115] |
|-----------------|---------|-------|-----------------|
|-----------------|---------|-------|-----------------|

#### ISSN: 0976-7126 1.080. 6.0000 8.66 3.33 7.5 01 866 39 61 16.666 1.08 .0 14.019.3 2.5 $67^{*}$ 866 00 028 305 7.5 6.0000 1.08 .0 3.33 8.66 866 0161 39

The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

|--|

| Multiple ( | t Variable: 71                  |                       |                      |               |      |                 |                |
|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|------|-----------------|----------------|
| Dependen   | (I) Various<br>concentration of | (J) Various           | sMean<br>fDifference | Std.<br>Error | Sig. | 95%<br>Interval | Confidence     |
|            | CINAMOMMUM<br>VERUM             | CINAMOMMUM<br>VERUM   | (I-J)                |               |      | Lower<br>Bound  | Upper<br>Bound |
|            | 2.5                             | 5                     | -1.33333             | 1.53960       | .420 | -5.1006         | 2.4339         |
|            | 2.5                             | 7.5                   | -4.66667*            | 1.53960       | .023 | -8.4339         | 8994           |
| LSD        |                                 | 2.5                   | 1.33333              | 1.53960       | .420 | -2.4339         | 5.1006         |
|            |                                 | 7.5                   | -3.33333             | 1.53960       | .074 | -7.1006         | .4339          |
|            | 75                              | 2.5                   | 4.66667*             | 1.53960       | .023 | .8994           | 8.4339         |
|            | 7.5                             | 5                     | 3.33333              | 1.53960       | .074 | 4339            | 7.1006         |
| *. The me  | an difference is significar     | nt at the 0.05 level. |                      |               |      |                 |                |

For Cinamommum verum and Azadirachta indica, there was no significant difference between concentrations 2.5 and 5 or 5 and 7.5 for both E.coli and S. typhi. However, there was significant difference between 2.5 and 7.5 therefore 7.5 is the best concentration that can be used on these test organisms.

### **Concluion and Recommendation**

From the study, there is no significant difference between concentrations of 50mg/ml and 100mg/ml for Cinamommum verum and Azadirachta indica. However, there was a significant difference between 50mg/ml and 150ml and thus the best concentration that can be used against the two test organisms' is150mg/ml. For Aloe secundiflora, there was significance in all percentages used from the data analyzed, Azadirachta indica has high efficacy on E. coli and Salmonella typhi compared to Aloe secundiflora and Cinnamomum verum and therefore we reject the null hypothesis. This study recommends that solutions containing these herbs made and be used in laboratories for wipe-downs; also further studies should be done to investigate the side effects of these herbs.

### Acknowledgement

We thank the following faculty from the University of Eastern Africa, Baraton, Department of Biological Sciences:Mr. Michaiah Ojunga, Mr. Frank Kombo Dr. Jackey Obey, Ms. Euginia Wekesa for their support, and encouragement and also for allowing us to access the laboratory equipment's and reagents that we needed to carry out this research. We also thank Mr. Joel Ochieng who did the confirmation for our herbs.

### References

- Agarry O.O., Olaleye, M.T. and Bello-Michael 1. C.O. (2005).Comparative Antimicrobial Activities of Aloe secundifloraGel and Leaf. African Journal of Biotechnology: Vol. 4 (12), 1413-1414.
- Alonso J.L., Soriano A., Carbajo O., Amoros I., and 2. Garelick H. (1999). Comparison and recovery of Escherichia coli and thermotolerant coliforms in water with high chromatogenic medium incubated at 41 and 45.5° C. Appl. Envir. Microbiol 65: 3746-3749
- 3. Atefl D.A. and Erdo Urul O.T. (2003). Antimicrobial Activities of Various Medicinal And Commercial Plant Extracts. Turk Biol: Vol. 27, 157-162.
- 4. Al-Jiffri O., El-Sayed Z. and Al-Sharif, F (2011). Urinary tract infection with Esherichia coli andantibacterial activity of some plants extracts. Int. J. Microbiol. Res. 2011, 2, 1-7.
- 5. Alinezhad H,. Azimi R., Zare M., Ebrahimzadeh M.A., Eslami S., Nabavi S.F. and Nabavi S.M. (2013). Antioxidant and Antihemolytic Activities



of Ethanolic Extract of Flowers, Leaves, and Stems of *Hyssopus officinalis* L. Var. *angustifolius*. Int. J. Food Prop. 2013, 16, 1169–1178.

 Benefits from *Cinamomnum verum* (2015), Amazing anti Microbial Properties of *Cinamomnum verum* retrieved on January 29, 2016 from http://www.benefitsfrom*Cinamomnumverum.*com/

http://www.benefitsfromCinamommumverum.com/ benefits/amazingantimicrobial-properties-of-Cinamommum verum

- CDC (2009), "Recommendations for Diagnosis of Shiga Toxin–Producing *Escherichia coli* Infections by Clinical Laboratories," MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REVIEW, Vol. 88, No. RR-12 (Oct. 16, 2009), available online at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5812.pdf
- Chaisawangwong W. and Gritsanapan W. Quality assessment and scavenging activity of Siamese *Azadirachta indica* flower extracty. Natural Product Research. 2013;27(4-5):394–401. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2012.706295.
- 9. CDC (2015), *E.coli* retrieved on February 1, 2015 from http://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/
- Curti V., Capelli E., Boschi F., Nabavi S.F., Bongiorno A.I., Habtemariam S., Nabavi S.M. and Daglia, M (2014). Modulation of human miR-17– 3p expression by methyl 3-O-methyl gallate as explanation of its *in vivo* protective activities. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2014, 58, 1776–1784.
- Daljit S. Arora, Jasleen Kaur (1999). Antimicrobial activity of spices International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 12. 257-262
- 12. David V. Pollack (2003), *Salmonella* enterica *typhi* retrieved on February 5, 2016 from http://web.uconn.edumcbstaff/graf/Student%20pres entations/Salmonellatyphi/Salmonellatyphi.html.
- 13. Drabu S., Khatri S. and Babu S. *Azadirachta indica*: Healer of all ailments. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci. 2012;3(1):120–126.
- Eisenstein B. and Zaleznik D. (2000), "Enterobacteriaceae," in Mandell, Douglas, & Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases, Fifth Edition, Chap. 206, 2294-2310.
- 15. Feng P., "Enumeration of *Escherichia coli* and the Coliform Bacteria," in bacteriological analytical manual (8th Ed. 2002), available online at http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/La boratoryMethods/BacteriologicalAnalyticalManual BAM/ucm064948.htm
- Frenzen P. D. et al., "Economic Cost of Illness due to *Escherichia coli* O157 Infections in the United States," Journal of food protection, Vol. 68, pp. 2623-2630 (2005).

- Griffin P. and Tauxe R. (1991), "The Epidemiology of Infections Caused by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, Other Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, and the Associated Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome," Epidemiological review, Vol. 13, pp. 60-98.
- Herbcyclopedia (2014), Health benefits of Azadirachta indica (Azadirachta indica) retrieved on February 9, 2016 from http://www.herbcyclopedia.com/item/healthbenefits-of-Azadirachta indica-azadirachta-indica-2
- Kamble K.M., Kedarnath V., Chimkod B.and Patil C.S. (2013), Antimicrobial activity of *aloe secundiflora* leaf extract, International Journal of Applied Biology and Phamaceutical Technology Volume-4. Issue-4. 0976-4550.
- 20. Khasnavis S. and Pahan K. Sodium benzoate, a metabolite of *Cinamommum verum* and a food additive, upregulates neuroprotective parkinson disease protein DJ-1 in astrocytes and neurons. J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2012, 7, 424–435.
- Kim S.H., Hyun S.H. and Choung, S.Y. (2006). Anti-diabetic effect of *Cinamommum verum* extract on blood glucose in db/db mice. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2006, 104, 119–123
- 22. Leung E., Weil D.E., Raviglione M. and Nakatani H. The WHO policy package to combat antimicrobial resistance. Bull. World Health Organ. 2011, 89, 390–392.
- Mothana R.A. and Linclequist, V. (2005). Antimicrobial Activity of Some Medicinal Plants of The Island Soqotra. JEthnopharmacei. Vol. 96, 1-2, 177-181. (doi: 10-1016/j.jep 2004.09.006).
- Nabavi S.F., Daglia M., Moghaddam A.H., Habtemariam S. and Nabavi, S.M. (2014), Curcumin and Liver Disease: From Chemistry to Medicine. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Saf. 2014, 13, 62–77.
- Nabavi S.F., Nabavi S.M., Habtemariam S., Moghaddam A.H., Sureda A., Jafari M. and Latifi, A.M. (2013), Hepatoprotective effect of gallic acid isolated from *Peltiphyllum peltatum* against sodium fluoride-induced oxidative stress. Ind. Crop. 44, 50–55.
- 26. Orwa C., Mutua A., Kindt R., Jamnadass R. and Anthony S. (2009), Agroforestree Database:a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0 retrieved from

http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treedbs/tree databases.asp

27. Pankaj S., Al-Tamimi B., Al-Nassri N. and Al-Mamari R., (2012), Effect of temperature on

## © Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS



antibiotic properties of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) African Journal of Biotechnology Vol.11 (95) pp. 16192-16195, 2012.

- Paul R., Prasad M., Sah N. K. Anticancer biology of *Azadirachta indica* L (*Azadirachta indica*): a mini review. *Cancer Biology & Therapy*. 2011;12(6):467–476. doi: 10.4161/cbt.12.6.16850. [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- 29. Nabavi SF., Di Lorenzo A., Izadi M., Sobarzo-Sánchez E., Daglia M., and Nabavi S.M. (2015), Antibacterial Effects of *Cinamommum verum*: From Farm to Food, Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Industries. Nutrients 2072-6643 retrieved on

## Shilingi et al., 7(7): July, 2016:5107-5115] ISSN: 0976-7126

January 30, 2016 from www.mdpi.com/journal/nutrients.

- Wain J., Hendriksen R.S., Mikoleit M.L., Keddy K.H. and Ochiai R.L. (2015), Typhoid fever. Lancet 385 (9973): 1136–45. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(13)62708-7. PMID 25458731.
- WHO position paper (2008), Typhoid vaccines: (PDF). Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 83 (6): 49–59. Feb 8, 2008. PMID 18260212.

### Table 1.1: Antimicrobial Activity of Antibiotics on E. coli and S. typhi

| Antibiotics           | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |          |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| (Positive control)    | E. coli                 | S. typhi |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Trial |                         |          |
| Ciprofloxacine        |                         |          |
| 50mg/ml               | 48mm                    | 42mm     |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trial |                         |          |
| Ciprofloxacine        |                         |          |
| 50mg/ml               | 44mm                    | 40mm     |

### Table 1.2: Antimicrobial Activity of Normal Saline on E. coli and S. typhi

|                       |           | V                       |          |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|
| Normal Saline         | (Negative | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |          |
| control)              |           | E. coli                 | S. typhi |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Trial |           | 00mm                    | 00mm     |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trial |           | 00mm                    | 00mm     |
|                       |           |                         |          |
|                       |           |                         |          |

### Table 1.3: Antimicrobial Activity of Aloe secundiflora on E. coli and S. typhi

| Concentrations (v/v)  | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |          |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|--|
|                       | E. coli                 | S. typhi |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 100%                  | 20mm                    | 28mm     |  |
| 80%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |
| 60%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 100%                  | 28mm                    | 30mm     |  |
| 80%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |
| 60%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |
|                       |                         |          |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 100%                  | 30mm                    | 30mm     |  |
| 80%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |
| 60%                   | 00mm                    | 00mm     |  |



| Concentrations        | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |          |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|--|
|                       | E. coli                 | S. typhi |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 150mg/ml              | 24mm                    | 22mm     |  |
| 100mg/ml              | 22mm                    | 20mm     |  |
| 50mg/ml               | 22mm                    | 18mm     |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 150mg/ml              | 24mm                    | 26mm     |  |
| 100mg/ml              | 22mm                    | 24mm     |  |
| 50mg/ml               | 20mm                    | 22mm     |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trial |                         |          |  |
| 150mg/ml              | 28mm                    | 24mm     |  |
| 100mg/ml              | 22mm                    | 22mm     |  |
| 50mg/ml               | 18mm                    | 14mm     |  |
|                       |                         |          |  |

| Table 1.4: Antimicrobial Activity of Azadirachta indica on E. coli an | d S. tvnhi |  |
|---|------------|--|
|---|------------|--|

| Table 1.5: Antimicrobial Activity of Cinnamomum verum on E. | coli an | d S. typh |
|---|---------|-----------|
|---|---------|-----------|

| Concentrations        | Zone of Inhibition (mm) |         |    |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|----|
|                       | E. coli                 | S. typl | hi |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Trial |                         |         |    |
| 150mg/ml              | 30mm                    | 16mm    |    |
| 100mg/ml              | 24mm                    | 12mm    |    |
| 50mg/ml               | 14mm                    | 14mi    | m  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Trial |                         |         |    |
| 150mg/ml              | 30mm20mm                |         |    |
| 100mg/ml              | 24mm16mm                |         |    |
| 50mg/ml               | 12mm14mm                |         |    |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Trial |                         |         |    |
| 150mg/ml              | 30mm18mm                |         |    |
| 100mg/ml              | 22mm16mm                |         |    |
| 50mg/ml               | 16mm12mm                |         |    |
|                       |                         |         |    |





How to cite this article

Shilingi G., Nachilima J., Matere L. and Kwalimwa D. (2016). Efficacy of *Aloe secundiflora, Azadirachta indica* and *Cinnamomum verum* against *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi. Int. J. Pharm. Life Sci.*, 7(7):5107-5115. Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None declared

Received: 30.05.16; Revised: 08.06.16; Accepted: 15.06.16

## © Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS

